

Opposed Motion Court Roll before Judge DS Fourie
2 – 4 November 2022

JUDGE'S SECRETARY: SONYA GILLISSEN
Office: 012 – 492 6708 / Cell: 079 4001963
sgillissen90@gmail.com (for correspondence)
sgillissen@judiciary.org.za (for case lines invites only).

KINDLY TAKE NOTICE:

- 1. THERE WILL BE A ROLL CALL FOR THE FOLLOWING MATTERS ON WEDNESDAY 2 NOVEMBER 2022 AT 10H00.**
- 2. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE CONDUCTED ON MS TEAMS AND A LINK WILL BE PROVIDED THE DAY BEFORE.**
- 3. ALL PARTIES MUST TIMEOUSLY PREPARE TO JOIN THE PROCEEDINGS AND SHOULD NOT WAIT UNTILL THE LAST MOMENT, OTHERWISE THE MATTER WILL BE STOOD DOWN AND ALLOCATED TO THE END OF THE ROLL.**
- 4. IT IS THE DUTY OF ALL PRACTITIONERS TO TIMEOUSLY SECURE EFFECTIVE CONNECTIVITY FOR HEARINGS AND TO MAKE PROVISION FOR A BACK-UP SERVICE IN CASE OF A POWER FAILURE.**
- 5. IMPROVED JOINT PRACTICE NOTES AND BUNDLES OF AUTHORITIES MAY BE UPLOADED ONTO CASELINES (NOT TO MRS GILLISSEN) BY NO LATER THAN THURSDAY 27 OCTOBER 2022, FAILING WHICH THE MATTER MAY BE STRUCK OFF THE ROLL.**

		<u>CASE NUMBER:</u>	<u>DURATION indicated by the parties</u>
2.	ADB FINANCIAL VS MERCANTILE BANK No Practise Note No Heads of Argument. Unable to prepare.	42352/20	

6.	COMPENSATION SOLUTIONS VS THE COMPENSATION COMMISSIONER + 2 1.Opposed Summary Judgment. 2. Application to amend plea? 3. Joint Practice Note does not comply with Directive 2 of 2022 dated 8 July 2022, par 154, more specifically 154.2 and 154.3 (no use referring to the heads of argument). 4. Opposed application to amend plea not fully addressed in practice note – no indication whether it should also be taken into account and prepared by the Judge and no compliance with par 154 of the Directive in this regard. 5. No authorities bundle, properly indexed and paginated, filed by either party.	52139/21	2 hours
10.	ROYAL BAFOKENT VS MOMENTUM METRO +3 1. Review Application. 2. (Joint) Practice Note does not comply with Directive 2 of 2022 dated 8 July 2022, par 154.2. 3. No authorities bundle, properly indexed and paginated, filed by respondents.	64286/21	2-3 hours
15.	SUMMER SEASON VS CITY OF TSHWANE + 5 Matter is removed from the roll by notice.	22557/15	
18.	GAURDRISK INSURANCE VS IFS RISK CONSULTANTS 1.Further particulars for trial. 2.No authorities bundle, properly indexed and paginated, filed by either party.	11799/19	2 hours

21.	DOMINICAN CONGREGATION VS MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS + 1 1.Declaratory order. 2.No joint factual chronology (regarding all relevant facts) in practice note as required in Directive 2 of 2022 dated 8 June 2022, par 154, more specifically 154.1. 3.No compliance with the above-mentioned Directive, par 154.2 (no use referring to heads of argument). 4.Bundle of authorities prepared by applicant uploaded sideways with no proper index and pagination.	10791/22	2 – 3 hours
26.	NEDBANK VS LITTLE SWIFT Liquidation application. No authorities bundle, properly indexed and paginated, filed by either party.	11165/21	2 hours
30.	FNB VS TC MUTHEN 1.Rule 46A Application. 2.Practice Note is of no assistance. 3.No compliance with Directive 2 of 2022 dated 8 July 2022 par 154, more specifically 154.2 and 154.3 (no use referring to the question whether defences are good in law as an issue).	14516/21	1 hour?
34.	G SINWAMALI VS SHERIFF EKHURHULENI No Heads of Argument. No Practise Note. Unable to prepare.	19468/18	.
38.	E DE LANGE VS C DE LANGE 1.Summary Judgment Application. 2.No compliance with Directive 2 of 2022 dated 8 July 2022 par 154, more specifically 154.2 and 154.3.	62520/21	2 -3 hours.

38A	COMPENSATION SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTE VS COMPENSATION COMMISIONER	59305/21	